



UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Jenny Gomez

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2014 - 119659

Date: 11/13/2014

Complaint Description:

19S Solar

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

First:

Last:

ORIGINAL

Complaint By:

Peter F

Ranger

Account Name:

Peter F Ranger

Street:

Home: Work:

City:

Phoenix

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: n/a

is: E-Mail

Utility Company.

Miscellaneous Solar

Division:

electric/solar

Contact Name:

n/a

Contact Phone: (090) 000-0000

Nature of Complaint:

DOCKET NO. E-00000XX-13-0214 OPPOSE

From:

Util-PublicComment

Sent:

Wednesday, November 12, 2014 6:32 PM

To:

Util-PublicComment

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

Cc:

Subject: Public Comment

NOV 1 7 2014

DOCKETED BY

Name: Date:

Peter F Ranger 11/12/14

Address

Phone:

CityStateZip:Phoenix

Cell:

Docket: A review of energy-efficiency programs, in which the ACC staff has suggested cutting the state requirements for utilities to conserve of their projected electricity sales 22 percent by 2020.

DocketNo: E-00000XX-13-0214

Utility:

APS Con

Position: Email:

Comments: Please do not roll back the energy efficiency standards for Arizona utilities. This will hurt ratepayers, send Arizona back decades, and give corporate welfare to the same utilities you are supposed to regulate. Help keep us a model for efficiency and ensure utilities meet the existing requirements. No utility and no other party has supported this, and I urge you to reject this proposal. Under this reckless energy waste policy, you enrich monopolies at the expense of Arizona ratepayers. We elected you to be our watchdog and you should reject this energy waste proposal.

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

11/13/14 Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. *End of Comments*

Date Completed: 11/13/2014

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Jenny Gomez

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2014 - 119657

Date: 11/13/2014

Complaint Description:

19S Solar

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Jim

Stack

Account Name:

Jim Stack

Home:

Street:

Work:

City:

Chandler

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85225

is: E-Mail

Utility Company.

Miscellaneous Solar

Division:

electric/solar

Contact Name:

n/a

Contact Phone: (000) 000-0000

Nature of Complaint:

DOCKET NO. E-00000XX-13-0214

From:

Util-PublicComment

Sent:

Wednesday, November 12, 2014 9:49 PM

To:

Util-PublicComment

Cc:

Subject: Public Comment

Name:

Jim Stack

Date:

11/12/2014

Address:

Phone:

CityStateZip:Chandler, AZ,85225

Cell:

Docket:

E-00000XX-13-0214

DocketNo:

Utility:

APS

Position: Con

Email:

Comments: E-00000XX-13-0214, don't cut the requirements to conserve energy. It's the best payback of any plan. IE =A review of energy-efficiency programs, in which the ACC staff has suggested cutting the state requirements for utilities to conserve of their projected electricity sales 22 percent by 2020. Docket No. E-00000XX-13-0214.

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

11/13/14 Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. *End of Comments*

Date Completed: 11/13/2014

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Carmen Madrid

Phone: '

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2014 - 119630

Date: 11/12/2014

Complaint Description:

19S Solar

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Rod

Hansen

Account Name:

Rod Hansen

Street:

Work: (000) 000-0000

City:

Gilbert

CBR:

Home:

State:

ΑZ Zip: 85234

is: E-Mail

Utility Company.

Miscellaneous Solar

Division:

electric/solar

Contact Name:

n/a

Contact Phone: (000) 000-0000

Nature of Complaint:

From:

Util-PublicComment

Sent:

Monday, November 10, 2014 3:27 PM

To:

Litil-PublicComment

Cc:

Subject: Public Comment

Name:

Rod Hansen

Date:

11-10-14

Address: Phone:

CityStateZip : Gilbert, AZ, 85234

480-262-0060

Cell: Docket:

Review of Energy Efficency Programs

DocketNo:

E-00000xx-13-0214

Utility: Position: APS, SRP, TEC

Email:

Other

Comments: I think it is a step back for the Utility Commission to allow Utility Companies to cut back on the required spending on Energy Efficency Programs. The Utilities have bought the Elections this year, the Republicans on and newly elected to the commision are the puppets of the big Utilities, you must think of what is best for the people that elected you. The proven Best way to cut Energy costs to the public and decrease air and water pollution is to encourage efficency and the current program does that. Remember your terms are not forever, when intelligent people realize what you have done, you will be impeached or at least voted out. *End of Complaint*

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Opinion noted and filed in Docket No. E-00000X-14-0214. closed *End of Comments*

Date Completed: 11/13/2014

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Richard Martinez

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2014 - 119664

Date: 11/13/2014

Complaint Description:

19S Solar

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Randolph

Manion

Account Name:

Randolph Manion

Home:

Street:

Work:

City:

Cottonwood

CBR:

m

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 86326

is: E-Mail

Utility Company.

Miscellaneous Solar

Division:

electric/solar

Contact Name:

n/a

Contact Phone: (000) 000-0000

Nature of Complaint:

Docket No.: E-00000XX-13-0214

Comments: As an APS customer soon to have solar installed on my home, I'm concerned that future rate tariffs could place additional cost on the shoulders of those customers choosing to use solar to help reduce power costs. Many utilities and PUC's are beginning to identify and recognize the many positive attributes to distributed solar generation, and are quantifying these benefits in the rate making process. Resulting in minimal minimum charges for customers choosing solar. It's important to note that there are many other distributed generation and end-user practices that can more significantly impact APS's ability to meet minimum revenue requirements than solar. For example, customers consuming energy during peak hours, customers reducing their energy use through conservation - especially during off-peak hours, customers with extremely high demands for energy during peak-hours, among others. I find it interesting that solar generation, which contributes to generation needs during peak hours, is penalized to a certain extent through special solar tariffs; and anyone can implement aggressive conservation practices and measures resulting in significant off-peak energy reductions clearly impacting APS's minimum revenue requirements and there is no special tariffs for these circumstances. Rate tariffs should encourage customers to implement solar energy to assist APS during peak hours and discourage customers from conserving energy during off peak hours and using energy during peak hours. Time of use rates should not be an option; and customers should not be grandfathered into certain rate structures, everyone plays a part in cost-recover.

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Emailed to the Phoenix ACC main office for docketing. FILE CLOSED.

^{*}End of Comments*

Date Completed: 11/13/2014